

**Table 9-1: Relative Comparison of Conservation Benefit of the Alternatives Compared to the Proposed Solano HCP on Covered Natural Communities and Species.**

Natural Communities	Alternative 1 – No Action	Alternative 2 – Required Species Only	Alternative 3 – Reduced Take	Alternative 4 – Increased Conservation HCP/NCCP
Vernal Pool and Valley Floor Grassland	<	<	<	>
California Red-legged Frog	<	=	<	>
Callippe Silverspot Butterfly	<	=	>	>
Coastal Marshes	<	< or =	=	>
Riparian, Stream and Freshwater Marsh	<	<	>	>
Swainson’s Hawk	<	<, NC	>	>
Burrowing Owl	<	<, NC	>	>
Giant Garter Snake	< or =	=	=	>
Rational for Acceptance/Rejection	1, 2	1, 2	1, 2, 3, 4	3

Legend:

Conservation Benefit

- < Reduced Conservation Benefit than Proposed Solano HCP
- NC – Not Covered, no conservation actions
- > Greater Conservation Benefit than Proposed Solano HCP
- = Equal Conservation Benefit to Proposed Solano HCP

Rational for Rejection of Alternative

1. Less Conservation Benefit for similar cost
2. Conflicts with Plan Goals and Objectives
3. Conflicts with Local Land Use Plans
4. Impracticable from Costs and Logistics